

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,934	09/23/2003	Ahmad Nouri	SVL920020078US1	4610	
24852 7590 02/15/2007 INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP IP LAW 555 BAILEY AVENUE , J46/G4 SAN JOSE, CA 95141			EXAMINER		
			LE, MIRANDA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5.11. 7652, 6.			2167		
		ı	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			02/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination				
	10/669,934		NOURI ET AL.				
1 (   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1			Art Unit				
	Miranda Le	<del>_</del>	2167				
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC							
Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review							
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal B	rief Request for t	Review filed <u>Ja</u>	<u>n. 19, 2007</u> .				
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Rereason(s):</li> </ol>	quest is imprope	r and a confere	ence will not be held	for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has n ☐ The request does not include ☐ A proposed amendment is i ☐ Other:	de reasons why a	a review is app	ropriate.	equest.			
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office commends	e continues to ru munication, if no	n from the rece Notice of Appe	eipt date of the Notice eal has been received	e of Appeal or from d.			
2. Proceed to Board of Patent held. The application remains unde is required to submit an appeal brie brief will be reset to be one month frunning from the receipt of the notic appeal brief is extendible under 37 of the notice of appeal, as applicable	r appeal because f in accordance v rom mailing this se of appeal, whic CFR 1.136 base	e there is at leawith 37 CFR 41 decision, or the chever is great	ast one actual issue foll.37. The time period be balance of the two-ler. Further, the time	or appeal. Applicant for filing an appeal month time period period for filing of the			

4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is with	ndrawn and a new Office
action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.	

3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by

The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

All participants:

(1) Miranda Le.

(2) John Cottingham.

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_.

applicant at this time.

(3)<u>Eddie C. Lee</u>.

(4)